



SDI FINAL EVALUATION FORM 1.1

PART 1:

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2013_BJAST_4191
Title of the Manuscript:	PHYSICOCHEMICAL PROPERTIES OF SORGHUM BISCUIT ENRICHED WITH DEFATTED SOY FLOUR

PART 2:

FINAL EVALUATOR'S comments on revised paper (if any)	Authors' response to final evaluator's comments
Abstract: Change "P = .05" to "$P \leq 0.05$" Line 29: Delete "cereal –" Line 73 and 109: Please include the specific AOAC method information/citation. Table 1: re-format decimals for SD1 to match those in the table. Table 2: if there were no significant differences between energy values, please mention of the fact in the text or in the table. The SD values for energy values should be included. The main criticism I have is the manuscript still needs to be revised for English language/grammar. Sentence structures still need to be revised. One example is the authors have a tendency to use commas when periods should be used, which makes the reading of the manuscript cumbersome. Also, since the authors used AOAC methods, method numbers must be provided/cited since AOAC has numerous methods. This information was requested in the first review.	Abstract: 'P = .05' is changed to '$P \leq 0.05$' <i>Line 29 'cereal' is deleted</i> <i>Line 73 The specific AOAC method: AOAC method 965.09</i> <i>Line 109 AACC method 10 – 50D</i> Table 1 – The decimal point is attended to. Table 2 – These values were significantly different from each other, and the SD values were added. Line 151- 152 – It is mentioned in the text that "The energy values were significantly different from each other" AOAC methods/ method numbers have been provided.